Non-Efimovian (universal) clusters & 2D quantum anomaly

Dmitry Petrov

Laboratoire Physique Théorique et Modèles Statistiques (Orsay)









 $\beta < -1/4, \quad M/m > 13.6$ $\chi(R) \propto \sqrt{R} \sin(\sqrt{-1/4} - \beta) \log R/r_3)$

"Fall of a particle to the center in *R*⁻² potential". Infinite number of zeros of the wavefunction. Infinite number of trimer states. Efimov effect

Yb-Li, Dy-Li, Er-Li,...?

"Universal" regime in the sense that one needs no three-body parameter. Fermi statistics wins over the induced attraction

> Homonuclear mixtures, K-Li, K-Dy, Li-Cr, K-Yb, K-Er,...?



$$U_{\it eff}(
ho) \propto rac{1}{
ho^2}$$

Finite *a*

Valid only in the scale-invariant region $\rho \ll |a|$

Consequence of the fact that for $a = \infty$ the two-body interaction is scaling invariant

Efimovian -> loss resonances (« loss features »)

Non-Efimovian \rightarrow universality of few-body observables and universal bound states... and long lifetime

2003: BCS-BEC crossover, molecules



Few-body problem in this case is non-efimovian

$$a_{ad} = 1.2 a$$

Skorniakov,

Ter-Martirosian (1957)

$$a_{dd} = 0.6 a$$

DSP, Salomon,

Shlyapnikov (2003)



DSP (2003)

Heavy-heavy-light problem, magic mass ratios



Emergence of a non-Efimovian trimer state for M/m>8.2 Kartavtsev&Malykh'06



M/m<8.2 *p*-wave atom-dimer scattering resonance

M/m>8.2 trimer state with *I*=1



Born-Oppenheimer picture





Born-Oppenheimer picture





(N+1)-body problem

How many heavy fermions can be bound by a single light atom?



Kinetic energy of the heavy atoms $\sim 1/M$

competes with

Attractive exchange potential of the light atom $\sim 1/m$

Parameters of the free-space zero-range N+1-body problem:

- space dimension *D*
- number of heavy atoms *N*
 - mass ratio *M/m*
- dimer size a (can be used as the length unit)



3D trimer, tetramer, pentamer,...

	Symmetry L^{π}	appear at <i>M/m></i>	Efimovian for <i>M/m</i> >
2+1 trimer	1-	8.173 Kartavtsev&Malykh'06	13.607 Efimov'73
3+1 tetramer	1+	8.862(1) Blume'12, Bazak&DSP'17	13.384 Castin,Mora&Pricoupenko'10
4+1 pentamer	0-	9.672(6) Bazak&DSP'17	13.279(2) Bazak&DSP'17
N+1-mer	?	?	?



Physics at *a*=infinity (& zero range)

Small-hyperradius behavior of the (N+1)-body wave function:



"Universal" regime in the sense that one needs no three-body parameter

Non-Efimovian regime

"Fall of a particle to the center in *R*⁻² potential". Infinite number of zeros of the wave function. Infinite number of trimer states. Efimov effect



A few words about low dimensions

$$\begin{aligned} \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{M} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^2} + \widetilde{U}_{eff}(R) \right] \chi(R) &= E \, \chi(R) \end{aligned} \\ 3D: \quad \widetilde{U}_{eff}(R) &= U_{eff}(R) + |\epsilon_0| + \frac{\hbar^2 l(l+1)}{MR^2} \longrightarrow l = 1 \rightarrow (M/m)_c = 8.2 \\ & \text{This is actually exact (not Born-Oppenheimer) number} \end{aligned} \\ different \\ 2D: \quad \widetilde{U}_{eff}(R) &= U_{eff}^{2D}(R) + |\epsilon_0| + \frac{\hbar^2 (l^2 - 1/4)}{MR^2} \longrightarrow \text{Rough guess:} \\ & (M/m)_c^{2D} \approx \frac{l^2 - 1/4}{l(l+1)} (M/m)_c^{3D} = 3.1 \end{aligned}$$

Exact ratio $(M/m)_c^{2D} = 3.3$ [Pricoupenko & Pedri'10]

Centrifugal force weaker in 2D \rightarrow p-wave resonance for smaller mass ratio!

... and in 1D $(M/m)_c^{1D} = 1$ exactly!

Can we make a bound Li-K-K trimer state?

[Levinsen et al'09]







Expect similar effect for Li-Cr and K-Dy!

Exact ratio for the trimer formation in 2D $(M/m)_c^{2D} = 3.3$ [Pricoupenko & Pedri'10]

2D trimer, tetramer, pentamer...



1D trimer, tetramer...(exact)

A. Tononi, J. Givois, DSP, Phys. Rev. A 106, L011302 (2022)





Pitaevskii-Rosch scaling symmetry

Classical (mean-field) Gross-Pitaevskii energy functional for 2D bosons (similar for 2D Fermi mixtures)

$$E_{\rm MF}(\Psi,\Psi^*) = (1/2) \int d^2 \rho [|\nabla_{\rho} \Psi(\rho,t)|^2 + g |\Psi(\rho,t)|^4]$$

$$V(\rho) = g \delta^2(\rho) : V(\lambda \rho) = \lambda^{-2} V(\rho)$$
dimensionless
$$V(r) = \beta/r^2 \quad \text{- other example (any dimension)}$$

$$E_{\rm MF}(\Psi,\Psi^*) = (1/2) \int d^2 \rho [|\nabla_{\rho} \Psi(\rho,t)|^2 + g |\Psi(\rho,t)|^4 + (\omega^2 \rho^2 |\Psi(\rho,t)|^2]) \longrightarrow \text{Undamped breathing mode with frequency } 2\omega$$
independent of interaction
[Pitaevskii'1996;Pitaevskii&Rosch'1997]

The model $E_{MF}(\Psi, \Psi^*)$ (with $V(\rho) = g\delta^2(\rho)$) does not survive quantization, but remains a good approximation in some cases (spoiler : too good)!

To « survive quantization » means to stay valid for the same quantum Lagrangian.

no survival \rightarrow quantum anomaly (smoking gun = deviation from 2ω)

Quantum models featuring PR symmetry : 3D unitary (non-Efimovian) gases, 1D Tonks gas ($V_{1D}(x) = \infty \delta(x)$), $1/r^2$ -models in any dimension







Next : 2D attractive bosons

